

1 3. Prior to filing the lawsuits before the court, it took me the better part of two months, late
2 summer to early Fall, to find a lawyer who specialized in environmental law and would take my case.
3 My criteria were that they had to not only specialize in environmental law, both federal and state, that
4 they also would be willing to take a case that was opposing the government, but that they would be
5 willing and able to represent our group and myself in a case that involved the Alaskan Way
6 Viaduct/Tunnel project.

7 2. I interviewed many lawyers in order to find the firm that could represent us. Some lawyers
8 did not do lawsuits against the government, some did not want to get involved with the case because
9 they had strong feelings about the project, and I actually ran into a number of prominent
10 environmental law lawyers that could not represent us, due to the fact that the State had contacted
11 them for advice previously and had signed agreements that prevented these lawyers from
12 participating in any litigation against the State related to this project.

13 3. Eventually the Bricklin law firm agreed to represent us, they filed the State action. A breach
14 in the attorney-client relationship however forced us to terminate our association with them.

15 4. Prior to terminating counsel and for over a month into 2010 I endeavored to find another
16 attorney to take this case. The difficulty in finding one had not changed from the previous time I had
17 searched for representation. Finding no counsel available, in the end I resigned myself to the fact that
18 if I was to pursue this case further, I would have to appear on my own behalf pro se. Acting pro se I
19 actively pursued the areas of legal recourse in this matter that were open to me.

20 5. On April 7, 2010 I was reading my neighborhood newspaper, the *Magnolia News*, and saw an
21 ad for an environmental law firm in it. In my prior search for an attorney I had not seen anything
22 about this law office doing environmental legal work. I immediately called the firm, spoke to Jill
23
24
25

1 Smith. I set up an appointment with her to meet on the following Monday, April 12th. I promptly
2 hired her at that meeting.

3 6. Since that time I have worked with her on a daily basis to bring her up to speed in terms of the
4 many aspects of the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement project related to this case. The
5 project is a multi-billion dollar undertaking, which has been going on for over ten years. It involves
6 both complex transactions and multiple layers of regulatory, statutory, and administrative facts and
7 facets; it is very time consuming to keep track of the elements which relate to the environmental
8 review aspects of the project. To be able to convey even a modicum of understanding of this side of
9 the project to the uninitiated is a time consuming process. In comparison to my efforts in this regard,
10 for example, WSDOT conservatively has over 200 direct employees, and more than 30 contractors
11 and their staffs working on this one project. For just the Request for Proposals element of the project
12 alone (an aspect of which intersects with this lawsuit), WSDOT has over 70 people working on it and
13 a budget of over \$7 Million (see attached Exhibit A).
14

15 7. The Alaska Way Viaduct project is huge. I easily devote over 40 hours a week managing the
16 correspondence and information that I gather related to it, and I have done this for several years now;
17 not to mention multiple meetings related to this which I attend each month, reviewing public records.
18 Considering the short time in which Ms. Smith has been associated with the project, it is amazing that
19 she has been able to come up to the degree of familiarity with the project that she has.
20

21 DATED this 28th Day of April, 2010
22

23
24 By /s/Elizabeth Campbell
25 ELIZABETH CAMPBELL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I declare that a true and correct copy of the following document:

1. PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY JILL J. SMITH NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

was served on the following as indicated below:

Amanda Phily, Attorney General's Office
Deborah Cade, Attorney General's Office
State of Washington
7141 Clearwater Drive SW
Tumwater, WA 98501

Via e-mail delivery and First Class Mail

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Stte of washigton that the foregoing is true
2 and correct.

3 Dated this 14th day of April, 2010 in Seattle, Washington.
4
5

6 _____
7 Jill J. Smith
8 Natural Resource Law Group, PLLC
9 610 NW 44th St. Suite 106
10 P.O. Box 17741
11 Seattle, WA 98127
12 (206) 227-9800 phone
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25