

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL 7007 2560 0000 6242 7947 AND EMAIL ONLY

May 1, 2010

Seattle Department of Transportation  
Peter Holmes, Director  
PO Box 34996  
Seattle, WA 98124-4996

Office of the Hearing Examiner  
PO Box 94729  
Seattle, WA 98124-4729

By this letter I am notifying each of the addressees that I wish to appeal the issuance of Utility Permit 67102 as amended and issued by the City of Seattle Department of Transportation to the Washington State Department of Transportation on April 13, 2010, and along that, the Letter of Plan Approval that is associated with Utility Permit 67102, also issued to WSDOT by SDOT on April 13, 2010.

This appeal is made pursuant to RCW 34.05.413, and pursuant to the City of Seattle's Charter, the Seattle Municipal Code, the Office of the Hearing Examiner's rules and SDOT's agency rules.

Please find attached completed forms for the appeal.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Elizabeth A. Campbell". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Elizabeth A. Campbell

**DECISION APPEAL FORM**

You do not have to use this form to file an appeal. However, if you do not use it, please make sure that your appeal includes all the information requested on this form. The appeal, along with any required filing fee, must reach the Office of Hearing Examiner, no later than 5:00 p.m. of the last day of the appeal period.

**APPELLANT INFORMATION** (Person or group making appeal)

**1. Appellant:**

If several individuals are appealing together, list the additional names and addresses on a separate sheet and identify a representative in #2 below.. If an organization is appealing, indicate group's name and mailing address here and identify a representative in #2 below.

Name Elizabeth A. Campbell

Address 3826 24th Ave W

Phone: Work: 206.769.8459 Home: \_\_\_\_\_

Fax: 206.283.6300 Email Address: campbell.beth@comcast.net

**2. Authorized Representative:**

Name of representative if different from the appellant indicated above. Groups and organizations must designate one person as their representative/contact person.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: Work: \_\_\_\_\_ Home: \_\_\_\_\_

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

SDOT Utility Permit 67102  
as amended and  
Letter of Approval both

**DECISION BEING APPEALED**

1. **Decision appealed** (Indicate MUP #, Interpretation #, etc.): issued April 13, 2010

2. **Property address** of decision being appealed: SR 99 see attached SDOT detail

3. **Elements of decision being appealed.** Check one or more as appropriate:

- Adequacy of conditions                       Variance
- Design Review and Departure             Adequacy of EIS
- Conditional Use                                       Interpretation (**See SMC 23.88.020**)
- EIS not required                                       Short Plat
- Major Institution Master Plan             Rezone
- Other (specify: In its entirety - a new permit should be issued)

**APPEAL INFORMATION**

Answer each question as completely and specifically as you can. Attach separate sheets if needed and refer to questions by number.

1. What is your interest in this decision? (State how you are affected by it)  
I am a resident of Seattle and use portions of  
the roadways, both state and city, which will be  
~~affected by this permit. As a resident of Seattle~~  
~~and a citizen of Washington State I have an interest~~  
in the permits the City issues and the acts the State takes.

2. What are your objections to the decision? (List and describe what you believe to be the errors, omissions, or other problems with this decision.)

~~Without waiving my right to supplement my objections~~  
~~herein; I object to much of the design of the project~~  
~~in question, the WSDOT "H2K" Stage 2 contract, I object~~  
~~to what I think its impacts will be on an~~  
"environmental review" outcome for the Central Waterfront  
portion of the Alaskan Way Viaduct Seawall and Replace-  
ment Program; I object to the fact that this project  
~~has been substantially altered since its approval under~~  
~~NEPA in 2009; and in the alternative that it is even in-~~  
~~cluded under this permit; it should require a new permit.~~

3. What relief do you want? (Specify what you want the Examiner to do: reverse the decision, modify conditions, etc.)

~~That the permit be stayed until an adjudication of the~~  
~~objections above be completed, that the City and State~~  
~~complete the proper environmental reviews for the changes~~  
~~in the project that were made; and that it be converted~~

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date May 1, 2010

/s/ see attached letter

Appellant or Authorized Representative \_\_\_\_\_

**Deliver or mail appeal and appeal fee to:**

**City of Seattle**  
**OFFICE OF HEARING EXAMINER**  
**P.O. Box 94729**  
**Seattle WA 98124-4729**

*SEATTLE MUNICIPAL TOWER - 700 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 4000*

**Phone: (206) 684-0521 Fax: (206) 684-0536**

**www.seattle.gov/examiner** into an alternative neutral design as it was originally i.e. that all design elements that lend themselves to connecting to a deep bored tunnel be eliminated, including but not limited to the alignment of the project, and a new/separate permit issued.