

VandenBerghe, Alissa (Consultant)

From: White, John
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2008 12:56 PM
To: 'valuemike@aol.com'; Paananen, Ron; Powers, Bob
Cc: Dye, Dave; Williamson, Alec
Subject: Re: Bored Tunnel Risk
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

We're changing back to 28% as originally agreed to.

From: ValueMike@aol.com
To: Paananen, Ron; White, John; Powers, Bob
Cc: Dye, Dave; Williamson, Alec
Sent: Mon Dec 15 12:53:18 2008
Subject: Re: Bored Tunnel Risk

Ron,

Please see the e-mail response to John White's e-mail.

*Best regards,
Mike Morrison, President
VALUE MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, INC.
15330 Old Redmond Road
Redmond, WA 98052-6837
425-885-2185 (telephone with extensive voice messaging capability)
206-799-7798 (cellular telephone also with voice messaging capability)*

In a message dated 12/15/2008 12:46:07 P.M. Pacific Standard Time, PaananR@wsdot.wa.gov writes:

I thought we agreed the bored tunnel had lower risk than the cut and cover on the waterfront. I don't remember the exact numbers

-----Original Message-----

*From: White, John
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2008 12:39 PM
To: Paananen, Ron; Powers, Bob; 'valuemike@aol.com'
Cc: Dye, Dave; Williamson, Alec
Subject: Bored Tunnel Risk*

*Was there tri-agency guidance to bump the risk up from 27/28% to 30%?
PB is using 30% right now, and I don't know where it came from.*

John

Make your life easier with all your friends, email, and favorite sites in one place. [Try it now.](#)