
From: Williamson, Alec
Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 12:05 PM
To: Preedy, Matt
Cc: Phelps, Don (Consultant); Freudenstein, Angela; Clark, Gordon T. (Consultant); Mattern, Dave (Consultant); Rigsby, Mike (Consultant); Conte, Rick (Consultant); Greco, Theresa
Subject: Task CE Scope of Work and Plan
Attachments: Y-9715 CE Fully Executed.pdf

Hi Matt- This is what PB is scoped to do between now and June 30. One build alternative (bored tunnel along 1st) and a no-build that does remove the viaduct but does not reestablish Armory Way.

If/when we need to update this scope to add alternatives or change direction on the no-build, I need direction in writing from you. If I don't hear from you, I will assume that we are delivering what is contained in this scope. This scope follows pretty well our understanding of the project needs back in January when "the recommendation" was made by executive leadership to move ahead with a bored tunnel as the preferred alternative. If we need to change course, there will be a schedule impact, or we will need additional resources. I hope this doesn't sound too inflexible on my part- this is my attempt at maintaining a reasonable degree of discipline to our work.

Per our alignment meeting yesterday, and our brief discussion this morning, the Environmental team has stated that Engineering has provided too much detail in the past when launching the EIS analysis. Frankly, this has not been my experience on this program supporting prior iterations of the EIS. More detail has been requested to support primarily ESA consultation which is required prior to the FHWA signing the ROD. Typically we hear that from Environmental that they just want to know what we are planning to build, but we end up needing to supply many more details like traffic volumes AM/PM peak on affected routes, cubic yards of excavation, stormwater runoff quantity and quality, limits of structures, location, configuration and visual quality of portal/vent buildings, number of lanes and widths of all streets, square feet of open space, number of parking stalls impacted etc..... We will work together with Environmental to get them what they need, but I think it is unfair to say that we have been providing too much information, when in fact we have been providing only what has been requested. As you requested, we will be meeting with Environmental this week to attempt to hash this out. We are anxious to streamline the process in any way possible. However, it is realistic to expect that the June 30 deliverable is only the start and we will need to continue to support Environmental needs throughout 2009 and 2010.

Thank you,
Alec

From: Tobin, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2009 4:02 PM
To: Tobin, Thomas; Schwantes, Larry; Edwards, Benjamin; Macey, Laurie; Martin, Pamela (Consultant); Liang, Dan (Consultant); George, Alberta (Consultant)
Cc: Anderson, Ward; Feikema, Debra (Consultant); Greengard, Mark (Consultant); Williamson, Alec; Robison, Jim (Consultant); Greco, Theresa
Subject: Y-9715 CE Fully Executed

To All:

Attached is the NTP for the abovementioned Task Order amendment. We have stored this TO in the Contract Manager database and can send you additional copies if need be.

A signed hard copy will be sent directly to the CONSULTANT and was probably mailed out today.

Thx.

Thomas Tobin
Urban Corridor Office WSDOT
999 Third Avenue, #2400
Seattle, WA 98104
